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Abstract

**In the scope of the Vehicle Information Platform feasibility study, this questionnaire has the objective to collect all necessary information on Periodic Technical Inspection and Road Side Inspection national processes and organisation.**
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# Context of the vehicle information platform.

In 2010, The European Commission, Directorate General for Mobility and Transport (DG MOVE) led an Impact assessment study on Roadworthiness Package. This study was triggered by the fact that there are too many vehicles with technical defects on the road causing accidents, injuries and fatalities. The study provided evidence on the link between road safety improvement and higher roadworthiness requirements.

The study also identified two root causes of the high level of technical defects:

* The scope of the current EU legislation is too narrow and the level of requirements it sets is too low
* Concerned actors don’t exchange information and vital data for effective tests and test results enforcement

In order to increase road safety, the EU decided to update current roadworthiness regulations in order to take the vehicle’s technological evolution into account and to enable the implementation of a vehicle information data exchange platform. The purpose of this system is to exchange technical information data related to the vehicles only.

The updated regulation proposal defines how each member state (MS) competent authority shall communicate electronically the roadworthiness certificate they deliver. This updated regulation will support the data-exchange platform. One of the objectives aims towards a harmonised Periodic Technical Inspection process throughout the EU.

An important aspect of this new regulation foresees that vehicle manufacturers shall provide Periodic Technical Inspection (PTI) centres or National Authorities with the necessary technical information for roadworthiness testing.

Article 15 of the new Regulation proposal on periodic roadworthiness tests requires the Commission to examine the feasibility, costs and benefits of the implementation of an electronic Vehicle Information Platform (VIP).

The objective of this platform VIP is to enable competent authorities of MS and vehicle manufacturers to exchange technical information related to vehicle approval, vehicle registration and vehicle periodic testing.

# Context of the Present study

In June 2013, the Commission contracted Unisys Belgium to conduct the feasibility study of a Vehicle Information Platform. The study includes desk-based research and interviews with different stakeholders in MS. These last are conducted in a two-step process:

* E-mail questionnaires sent to stakeholders of MS for collecting general and specific background information on Vehicle information.
* Possible face-to-face interviews with stakeholders in MS to understand the different perspectives and gather more in-detail information where needed.

The key objective of this questionnaire is to gather information about your national organization and systems dealing with roadworthiness processes, focusing on data exchange with other MS.

This Questionnaire offers you the chance to provide the Project Team with more insights on your current organisation. Moreover, it offers you the possibility to clarify and communicate your needs and priorities in this domain.

## About the questionnaire.

The questionnaire contains 3 parts:

* Part 0 aims at having an overview of all national authorities and stakeholders taking part in the PTI and RSI process.
* Part 1 aims to understand the current national organisation in terms of vehicle approval, vehicle registration, Periodic Technical Inspection (PTI) and Road Side Inspection (RSI). This part also addresses the future national needs and requirements linked to the implementation of a Vehicle Information Platform.
* Part 2 aims to gain more information on the current registers used by the different authorities and stakeholders, and how they communicate with other systems. Future needs and requirements linked to the Vehicle Information Platform are also addressed.

This document is the first part of the questionnaire. The project team requests to have this questionnaire filled in and sent back 3 working weeks after reception.

## How to complete this questionnaire

To help you answering the Questionnaire in an efficient manner, we have used different kinds of questions; open questions, check boxes and tables to be filled in. Editable paragraphs where you can provide your answers are highlighted.

Some questions give you the opportunity to provide comments or to give more explanation.

When answering the questions, please keep in mind that the purpose is to gain a clear understanding and overview on how vehicle information data is organized in your country, what the communication channels are and how vehicle information is exchanged with other MS.

Additionally, the questions give you the opportunity to provide your comments and suggestions on vehicle information exchange with other MS.

## Important notice

For further enquiries regarding the project in general or this questionnaire, do not hesitate to send an e-mail to the following e-mailbox: VIPStudy@unisys.com.

## Guarantee of Confidentiality

This individual questionnaires will be disclosed “as-is” to the European Commission, but not “as-is” to any other party. However the findings will be consolidated in a general overview or in comparative tables for the purpose of study or trend analysis.

Personal information concerning contact names is needed for further contacts. This information will not be disclosed “as-is” to any party and will only be used by the project team in the scope of the study.

# The existing national environment for vehicle information

This part of the questionnaire allows you to clarify how National Authorities are organized for the follow-up of Vehicle Life Cycle information. It will also improve the project team’s understanding of the legal context of the National Roadworthiness system.

**3.0. Please fill in information about the person replying to the questionnaire:**

This information is needed for the project team to have a contact name in case they would need clarifications on the responses.

Surname:

Name:

Email:

Phone (with international prefix):

Organization:

Function

Surname:

Name:

Email:

Phone (with international prefix):

Organization:

Function

## PTI and RSI current organisation and stakeholders

### How is Periodic Technical Inspection (PTI) organised in your MS? Please describe how PTI is practically organised with respect to the testing of vehicles, the roles and interactions between stakeholders including the information flow and core practical processes.

This question will enable the project team to have an overview of the current national organisation and information flow concerning PTI.

Please explain PTI organisation:

### PTI in figures: How many inspection stations are currently performing PTI in your country, how many vehicles are inspected every year at national level? Among them, how many vehicles registered in another MS are inspected every year?

Total number of inspection stations:

Total number of inspected vehicles / year:

Total number of inspected vehicles registered in another MS / year:

Additional comment:

### How is Road Side Inspection (RSI) organised in your MS? Please describe how RSI is practically organised, with respect to the road side inspection of vehicles, roles and interactions between stakeholders including information flow.

This question will enable the project team to have an overview of the current national organisation and information flow concerning RSI.

Please explain RSI organisation:

### RSI in figures: How many vehicles undergo roadside inspections every year? Among them, how many vehicles registered in another MS are inspected every year?

Total number of inspected vehicles / year:

Total number of inspected vehicles registered in another MS / year:

Additional comment:

### For each vehicle information register/system listed, please complete the table below.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Register/  System | Register Name(s) | Register Owner | Centralised (at national level)/  Decentralised |
| Vehicle type approval authorities |  |  |  |
| Registration authorities |  |  |  |
| PTI controlling authorities |  |  |  |
| PTI centres public services |  |  |  |
| PTI centres companies (private) |  |  |  |
| Roadside inspection authorities |  |  |  |
| Other: please specify |  |  |  |

Table 1: Current national registers overview

Additional comment:

### Are there any non-electronic vehicle information registers currently in use? If so, please complete the table below

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Register name | Owner | Register content | Usage, functionalities |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Additional comment:

## The current exchange of vehicle information data

Objective: Allow the project team to understand the organisation of the national registers of vehicle information and data information exchange in relation with the current business processes in place. Also, this section allows the project team to identify future needs in respect of the VIP.

### Please complete this table in order to understand with which authority/stakeholder vehicle information data are exchanged:

Select all that apply

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Competent authorities | The authority in the left column exchanges vehicle information on a continuous or regular basis with the following authorities /stakeholders: |
| Vehicle approval authorities | There is no vehicle information exchanged with other parties  Vehicle approval authorities from other MS  National registration authorities  Registration authorities from other MS  PTI approval authorities  PTI approval authorities from other MS  PTI Centres  Roadside inspection responsible authorities  Roadside inspection responsible authorities from other MS  Manufacturers head offices: please specify:  National associations representing vehicle manufacturers  EU authorities: please specify:  Other: please specify: |
| Registration authorities | There is no vehicle information exchanged with other parties  Vehicle approval authorities  Vehicle approval authorities from other MS  Other registration authorities  PTI approval authorities  PTI approval authorities from other MS  PTI Centres  Roadside inspection responsible authorities  Roadside inspection responsible authorities from other MS  Manufacturers head offices: please specify:  National associations representing vehicle manufacturers  EU authorities: please specify:  Other: please specify: |
| PTI approval authorities | There is no vehicle information exchanged with other parties  Vehicle approval authorities  Vehicle approval authorities from other MS  Registration authorities  Registration authorities from other MS  PTI approval authorities from other MS  PTI Centres  Roadside inspection responsible authorities  Roadside inspection responsible authorities from other MS  Manufacturers head offices: please specify:  National associations representing vehicle manufacturers  EU authorities: please specify:  Other: please specify: |
| Roadside inspection responsible authorities | There is no vehicle information exchanged with other parties  Vehicle approval authorities  Vehicle approval authorities from other MS  Registration authorities  Registration authorities from other MS  PTI approval authorities  PTI approval authorities from other MS  PTI Centres  Roadside inspection responsible authorities from other MS  Manufacturers head offices: please specify:  National associations representing vehicle manufacturers  EU authorities: please specify:  Other: please specify: |
| Other (please specify): | There is no vehicle information exchanged with other parties  Vehicle approval authorities  Vehicle approval authorities from other MS  Registration authorities  Registration authorities from other MS  PTI approval authorities  PTI approval authorities from other MS  PTI Centres  Roadside inspection authorities  Roadside inspection authorities from other MS  Manufacturers head offices: please specify:  National associations representing vehicle manufacturers  EU authorities: please specify:  Other: please specify: |

Table 2: National Vehicle information exchanges

Additional comment:

### What are currently the main practical problems encountered with respect to transmitting vehicle information to competent authorities and stakeholders (such as vehicle manufacturers) from other MS? Would there be more than 1 problem, please prioritize the impact (1: High, 2: Medium, 3: Low).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Practical problem | Impact  (1: high, 2 medium, 3: low) |
| No formal vehicle information exchange model is defined at EU level |  |
| Bilateral agreements not available or EU regulation not in place |  |
| Lack of vehicle information data |  |
| National vehicle information is not centralised |  |
| Technical issues |  |
| Budgetary issues |  |
| Other: please specify |  |

### Would you have specific requirements or suggestions for guidelines regarding the Vehicle Information Platform?

Please explain:

## Legal context.

This section aims to provide information on legal requirements and relevant legal obligations.

### What is the national legislation related to PTI and RSI which are completely or partially dedicated to the collection of vehicle information in your country? (please provide a copy (in English if available))

Please enlist and describe the legal framework for vehicle information shortly:

### Do personal data privacy- or personal data protection requirements exist with respect to PTI and RSI vehicle information data in your country? Please explain.

1. Minimum and maximum duration of personal data storage:

Minimum duration:

Maximum duration:

Additional comment:

1. Personal data disclosure obligations:

Please explain:

1. Personal data usage restrictions:

Please explain:

### In your MS, how do national data protection supervision authorities ensure that compliance with national personal data protection requirements in the area of PTI and RSI vehicle information is maintained?

Please explain:

### Is there a legal obligation in your country for vehicle manufacturers to provide access to technical information necessary for roadworthiness tests (PTI and RSI)?

Please explain:

### Has your country signed up to any bilateral or multilateral agreements with other EU Member States with provisions on the trans-national exchange of PTI and RSI vehicle information?

*If yes, please indicate their name of the agreement and date of signature and provide further details on their most important stipulations, including the MS involved.*

Please explain:

### Are there any stipulations in your national law limiting the trans-national exchange of PTI and RSI vehicle information?

If yes, please provide further details:

## The future needs.

### In respect of the implementation of the VIP in the scope of the new Roadworthiness package, is there any need for the following:

* 1. additional national vehicle information register(s)

None

Yes: please specify:

Please explain:

* 1. additional national vehicle information data in existing register(s)

None

Yes: please specify:

Please explain:

* 1. additional vehicle information data exchange at national level (between national registers)

None

Yes: please specify:

Please explain:

* 1. additional vehicle information data exchange at EU level (with other MS registers)

None

Yes: please specify:

Please explain:

* 1. Other:

None

Yes: please specify:

Please explain:

### Are the national authorities in the position to exchange PTI and RSI national vehicle information data with the following competent authorities from other MS? Under which conditions? What are the benefits?

1. Approval authority

No: please explain

Yes:

Please specify the conditions:

Please specify the benefits:

1. Registration authority

No: please explain

Yes:

Please specify the conditions:

Please specify the benefits:

1. PTI approval authority

No: please explain

Yes:

Please specify the conditions:

Please specify the benefits:

1. Road Side Inspection authority

No: please explain

Yes:

Please specify the conditions:

Please specify the benefits:

1. Other:

No: please explain

Yes:

Please specify the conditions:

Please specify the benefits:

### The new roadworthiness package will require other MS’s registers/systems to request information from national registers/systems. Please identify which authorisation process would be preferred to allow following stakeholders from other Member States to exchange information with the national registers.

Please specify for each authority/stakeholder your preferences ratings from 1 to 4, 1 indicating the absolute necessity.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Stakeholder from other MS | No authorisation given | Direct authorisation | Authorisation through a central competent authority at national level | Authorisation through a central competent authority at EU level |
| Vehicle type approval authorities |  |  |  |  |
| Registration authorities |  |  |  |  |
| PTI controlling authorities |  |  |  |  |
| PTI centres public services |  |  |  |  |
| PTI centres companies (private) |  |  |  |  |
| Roadside inspection authorities |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Manufacturers |  |  |  |  |
| Other: please specify |  |  |  |  |

Table 3: Future authorisation processes from other registers

Please comment:

# 

# Terminology

## Acronyms & Abbreviations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Acronym or Abbreviation** | **Meaning** |
| COM | The European Commission (DG MOVE) |
| DG MOVE | European Commission Directorate-General for Mobility and Transport |
| MS | Member State |
| PTI | Periodic Technical Inspection |
| RSI | Road Side Inspection |
| RW | Roadworthiness package |
| TI | Technical Inspection |
| VIP | Vehicle Information Platform |

Table 4: Acronyms & Abbreviations

# Reference and annexed documents.

## Annexes

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Reference** | **Title** | **Version** |
| A1 | MOVE-VIP-QST-001-Part 2 | VIP Preliminary questionnaire – Register overview | 0.20 |

Table 5: Annexes

## Reference documents

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Reference** | **Title** | **Version** |
| R1 | 2012/0184 (COD) | Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on periodic roadworthiness tests for motor vehicles and their trailers and repealing directive 2009/40/EC | N/A |
| R2 | SWD(2012) 206 final | Roadworthiness package – Commission staff working paper on impact assessment | N/A |

Table 6: Reference Documents

\*\*\* End of MOVE-VIP-QST-001