

- Meeting Notes-

**EGEA WG1 meeting
Tuesday, 29th January 2013 (10h30 – 16h00)
at FEDERAUTO, Room SPA
Avenue Jules Bordet 164, BE-1140 Brussels**

1. Opening and welcome by the Chairman

Fausto Manganelli welcomed all participants and particularly Gary DiAngelo coming from Snap-On Equipment in Ontario.

2. Roll call of participants

The attendees introduced themselves.

ABL	Arild Hansen	AH
AFIBA/ Cascos Maquinaria sa	Gonzalo Cascos	GC
AFIBA/ Velyen licensed by ISTOBAL	Diego Moya	DM
AFIBA/ Velyen licensed by ISTOBAL	Manuel Castells	MC
AICA/ Ravaglioli SpA	Fausto Manganelli	FM
AICA/ Snap-On	Gary DiAngelo	GD
ASA/ Blitzrotary	Carsten Rohde	CR
ASA/ Blitzrotary	Bernhard Angst	BA
ASA/ MAHA	Thomas Feldmeier	TF
FMA/Techno Automotive Equipment	Nico Hellebaut	NH
GIEG/ FOG Automotive	Olivier Gindre	OG
SAA/ Hetra International AG	Hans-Peter Fritschi	HPF
EGEA Secretariat	Eléonore van Haute	EVH

3. Adoption of the agenda

The agenda was unanimously approved.

4. Approval of the minutes of the last Working Group 1 meeting held on 13th September 2012 in Frankfurt

With no further remarks, the minutes of the previous WG1 meeting on September 13th, 2012 were unanimously approved.

5. Review of the Standard EN 1493:2010

FM summarized the outline of situation related with the review of the Standard EN1493:

- In last WG1 meeting in Frankfurt it was decided, rather than establishing a list of preferred/recommended NB' as it was agreed previously, to work on an analysis of the standards EN1493 to try to avoid any different interpretation from NB's and lift manufacturers.
- Therefore it was decided to start an inquiry with WG1 members about critical point of EN1493: the result of this inquiry has been compiled into one document which will be the basis for discussion in this meeting, please see attached "EGEA revision EN1493".

- In Frankfurt it was also decided to evaluate the possibility to have a limited meeting with Mr Haase to deepen the issue "load distribution on lift with arms" (EGEA proposal for RFU was refused by VG8): it was preferred to postpone this contact after having discussed the result of the inquiry.
- FM contacted Mr Trabold (convenor of CEN/TC98/WG3) to have his opinion about the best way to work on the standard: he suggested a revision instead of an RFU. This because of the position of VG8 (only Mr Haase was in favour with our proposal) and also because of other issues in discussion (EGEA inquiry, but also other comments received directly by Mr Trabold).
- It was decided to give maximum priority to the discussion on the results of the EGEA inquiry and afterwards to decide the next steps.

5.1. Review and discussion about results of EGEA inquiry on critical issues of EN1493 amongst EGEA members

- The WG1 members went through the EGEA document compiling all comments received by the members. Please see Annex B for all discussions and results.

5.2. Prioritisation of issue

- The WG1 members went through the EGEA document compiling all comments received by the members. Please see Annex B for all discussion and results.

Decision: It was decided to classify the issues raised by the members according to three priority levels:

- A) Important issues to be fixed asap
- B) Secondary issues: change to be discussed in an eventual revision of the standard
- C) No action required

5.3. Decision and next steps

- Creation of a 'Recommendations For Use/Guidance for Notified Bodies'?
- Involvement of VG8 – Mr Haase?
- How to proceed at CEN level with the review of EN1493 within the Technical Committee?

Actions and decisions:

- It was decided to discuss with Mr. Trabold the possible creation of a formal document where the urgent issues (priority A) are fixed. This document could be created under the format of a RFU (to be confirmed) and could be discussed further with Mr. Haase and his VG8 members to create a possible official Notified Bodies RFU.
- On February 6th, CR will meet Mr. Trabold and will try to discuss these points in further detail. Depending of the results of these discussions, the next steps will be evaluated and decided.

6. Potential conflict between EN1493 and Directive 2010/48 about the presence of persons on the lift during roadworthiness inspection procedure

6.1. Results of Neil Pattemore's check with the Directive for passenger lifts (Directive 95/16)

- Neil Pattemore gave a short report from his investigation on the potential conflict between EN1493 and Directive 2010/48 and particularly regarding the Directive for passenger lifts.

It appears that vehicle lifts do not fall under the scope of this Directive and there is no precise provision regarding the presence of persons on the lift during roadworthiness testing.

Decision: following a discussion and as the PTI legislation is currently under review in Brussels, it was decided to contact the person in charge at the Commission and ask for guidance how to proceed with such safety issues involving persons on a lift during a roadworthiness test.

6.2. Report from the secretariat on current review of the 'Roadworthiness Package' at EU level

- Neil Pattemore and EVH informed the WG1 about the current review of the Roadworthiness Package at European level. The Commission published its proposal in July 2012 and between September and December 2012, the Council (who represents the Member States) finalised its position on the package. The Council position on the package was quite disappointing and could have serious implication for EGEA members.

Notably for WG1 members, the Council suggested to limit the use of a jacking beam for vehicles above 3.5T.

Action & Decisions:

- Following an internal discussion, the members decided that this point should be raised in the EGEA position paper on the Council proposal.
- For that, it was agreed that all members are invited **to send to the secretariat by February 20th** arguments, evidence and technical reasons why this point should remain in the proposal and why such a restriction is technically incorrect.

7. EGEA WG1 Leaflet: status of translation

EVH gave a short update on the state of affairs of the translation of the EGEA WG1 leaflet.

In summary:

- EN version: finalised
- DE version: finalised and available soon on the EGEA website
- FR version: adaptation of the translated text to be finalised
- ES version: adaptation of the translated text to be finalised

8. EGEA rules on the Working Group: number of representatives per national association

EVH reminded the members that according to the EGEA Rules, each Working Group shall normally consist of a maximum of two official delegates from each member association. As WG1 is currently conducting an important review of the EN1493:2010, it was decided that all experts with their precious expertise are most welcome. Nevertheless, if we come to a vote on certain issues, it was decided that only the official delegates would be able to vote.

Action: Therefore, it was agreed that all associations should nominate two official delegates and provide the secretariat with their contact details.

9. Next meetings, frequency and location

Decision: to wait for the result of the meeting between Mr. Trabold and CR on February 6th before deciding about next steps and scheduling the next meeting.

10. Any other business

- As the issue of non-compliance with EN1493:2010 and market surveillance has become increasingly important, it was decided to discuss further this issue at the next WG1 meeting.
