

# EGEA WG10

11<sup>th</sup> meeting – 3/12/2014



# Feedback from the EGEA General Assembly

## Comments from the EGEA General Assembly of 23/10/2014 to the report of WG10

- France is not comfortable with a solution that makes everybody dependent on a single company. A detailed technical description of the solution, including the ENC server, should be provided, in order to put everybody in the position of developing the network independently.
- Germany is fine with Asanet if it fulfills the requirements. The business model should be changed to make it open and equally available to all.
- The EGEA members do not want multiple proposals. WG10 should do the technical evaluation and choose one solution, then prepare a business plan and issue a call for project.
- EGEA is a no-profit organization and cannot make business. Another company should be delegated by EGEA to manage the EGEA NET and pay a license to EGEA for the use of the EGEA logo.

## **Participants to the General Assembly confirmed their interest to the activities of WG10**

WG10 is requested to do the following:

- Contact CITA and understand if the Asanet solution is acceptable or if a “modern” solution is required
- Clarify what are the limitations of Asanet relating to new requirements (cloud, mobile devices, etc.)
- Contact the EU Commission to understand exactly what they expect

# Feedback from the EGEA General Assembly

## From the EGEA General Assembly minutes

- Problem: no unanimity can be reached in favour of one solution. The group is divided between two commercial options.
- The Board is of the opinion that it is not the role of the General Assembly or of the Board, to take such a decision. The decision must be reached within the Working Group and if unanimity cannot be reached, than a vote must be organised. Once a decision is taken, the Working Group should realise a business plan and submit it to the Board.
- Action:
  - The Secretariat to investigate whether an AISBL is allowed under the Belgian legislation to generate revenues/profits coming from the running of the Network.
  - The Secretariat to send a call for project once the business plan for one solution is ready.

## CITA WG5 meeting in Plochingen, 21/10/2014

- CITA WG5 is very interested to the EGEA WG10 activities and even willing to participate to the effort
- PTI organizations are supporting the initiative of an European network, in particular:
  - Applus from Spain
  - TÜV Rheinland from Germany and Spain
  - VEIASA from Spain
  - RDW from Holland
  - Testek from Slovakia (but they have their own system in place, they will send a description)
  - SNCT from Luxemburg

Eduard Fernandez will check with CITA's Bureau Permanent.

## CITA WG5 meeting in Plochingen, 21/10/2014

- The EU Commission looks favourably to a standard network, as PTI centres will be required to submit electronically the PTI results **by June 2021** and a common solution is preferred, especially in those countries that do not have an automatic transmission of PTI results in place
- A state-of-the art solution open to mobile technologies and new requirements is preferred
- CITA WG5 would like to know the requirements, timing plan and costs of the EGEA solution

# Email from Mr. Grooters (EUCARIS)

7 November 2014

Dear Laurence,

Thank you for your call and email and for the invitation. From my side there is no urgent news that would justify my presence in your working group, so I suggest that I skip the meeting of 3 December. I would be happy to attend a next meeting, as soon as there is more news on your approach.

I have discussed my contacts with EGEA at the **EUCARIS Participants' Board**. In general there is no opposition against our cooperation, provided that any interfaces offered by EUCARIS for access to the European Vehicle Registration are open and can also be used by organisations that decide not to use the EGEA network.

Evidently we cannot do much as long as the **Technical WG** of the Roadworthiness Committee has not elaborated the distribution model, access methods and formats used in the data exchange around PTI and RSI. Unfortunately the 4 November meeting of the Committee has not resulted in a concrete date for the next meeting of the WG. Possibly we have to wait until we have a successor for Mr Walter Nissler, who will leave the EC by the end of this month.

Furthermore I heard past Wednesday that there is still no agreement between Unisys and the EC about the final report of the feasibility study on the **VIP**. We are not in the position to elaborate our ideas as long as we do not have a direction and ideas about the general approach.

Hope to hear from you a.s.a.p. From my side I will inform you as soon as I have any news.

# Email from Mrs. Van de Poele (UNISYS)

6 October 2014

Dear Marco,

Thank you for keeping us updated.

An updated version of our report has been delivered to DG MOVE last week. We are waiting for feedback.